

# Methodological skills

rMA linguistics, week 12

*Tamás Biró*

*ACLCLC*

*University of Amsterdam*

`t.s.biro@uva.nl`

## Types of the explanatory variables

× type of the dependent variable

Scale of the explanatory variable(s) is	categorical (nominal, ordinal)	quantitative (interval, ratio, logarithmic)
Dependent variable with categorical scale	<i>crosstabs</i>	<i>logistic regression</i>
Dependent variable with quantitative scale	<i>t-test, ANOVA</i>	<i>correlation, regression</i>

# Null hypotheses and tests

What is the mean of one population?

$H_0$ : population  $\mu = m$

- Population  $\sigma$  known: one-sample  $z$ -test.
- Calculate  $z$ -statistic:  $z := \bar{z} = \frac{\bar{x} - m}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$ .
- Due to Central Limit Theorem, if  $H_0$  true, then the sampling distribution of the  $z$ -statistic is/approaches standard Normal distribution  $N(0, 1)$ .
- Use standard Normal table to calculate  $p$ -value.

[http://bcs.whfreeman.com/ips6e/content/cat\\_050/ips6e\\_table-a.pdf](http://bcs.whfreeman.com/ips6e/content/cat_050/ips6e_table-a.pdf)

$H_0$ : population  $\mu = m$   
One-tailed or two-tailed?

$p$ -value: probability to draw a sample whose statistic is at least as extreme as the one of our sample, provided that  $H_0$  is true.

What does it mean “at least as extreme”?

If the alternative hypothesis is

- $H_a: \mu \neq m$ , then two-tailed.
- $H_a: \mu > m$ , then one-tailed.  
 $H_a: \mu < m$ , then one-tailed.

$H_0$ : population  $\mu = m$

- Population  $\sigma$  unknown: one-sample  $t$ -test.
- Approximate pop.  $\sigma$  with sample  $s$  (using  $n - 1$ ).
- Calculate  $t$ -statistic:  $t := \frac{\bar{x} - m}{s/\sqrt{n}}$ .
- If  $H_0$  is true, then the sampling distribution of the  $t$ -statistic for sample size  $n$  is/approaches Student's  $t$ -distribution with degree of freedom  $df = n - 1$ .

[http://bcs.whfreeman.com/ips6e/content/cat\\_050/ips6e\\_table-d.pdf](http://bcs.whfreeman.com/ips6e/content/cat_050/ips6e_table-d.pdf)

# Assumptions (1)

- Simple Random Sample: cases are independent.



## Assumptions (2)

- The population follows a Normal distribution, or
- the population distribution is close to a Normal distribution, and  $n$  is large, or
- the population distribution is not too far from a Normal distribution, and  $n$  is very large.
- Normal quantile plot: test whether sample distribution is close to what you would expect from a sample drawn from a population with a reasonably Normal distribution.  
(Or use other Normality tests.)

**Non-parametric tests** when Normality assumption does not hold.

Are the means of two populations equal?

$H_0$ : population  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$

- Population  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  known: two-sample  $z$ -test.
- Population  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  unknown: two-sample  $t$ -test.
- $df$  depends on:
  - Do we know equality of the variances:  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ , or
  - at least  
do the samples make equality of the variances likely?
  - Is  $n_1 = n_2$ ?

# Assumptions (1)

- Simple Random Sample: cases are independent.

## **Paired samples $t$ -test:**

Data points come in pair, and so independence does not hold.  
Perform one-sample  $t$ -test on differences (“improvement”).

## Assumptions (2)

- The population follows a Normal distribution, or
- the population distribution is close to a Normal distribution, and  $n$  is large, or
- the population distribution is not too far from a Normal distribution, and  $n$  is very large.
- Normal quantile plot and Normality tests.

**Non-parametric tests** when Normality assumption does not hold.

$H_0$ : more populations  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$  etc.

ANOVA: Analysis of Variance.

(next week)

# Proportions

# Proportions

Dichotomous data (dichotomous output/dependent variable):  
yes/no, success/failure, left/right, dead/alive, pregnant/not pregnant.

Null-hypotheses:

- $P(\text{success}) = P(\text{failure}) = 0.5.$
- For some  $p$  predicted by theory,  $P(\text{success}) = p.$
- $P(\text{success}|\text{condition1}) = P(\text{success}|\text{condition2})$



# Proportions

More than two outputs: red/blue/green, S/NP/VP/PP, etc.

Null-hypotheses:

- $P(\text{red}) = P(\text{green})$ .

$$P(\text{red}|\text{condition1}) = P(\text{red}|\text{condition2})$$

- For some  $p_r$  predicted by theory,  $P(\text{red}) = p_r$ .
- For some  $p_r$ ,  $p_b$  and  $p_g$  predicted by theory ( $p_r + p_b + p_g = 1$ ),  
 $P(\text{red}) = p_r$  and  $P(\text{blue}) = p_b$  and  $P(\text{green}) = p_g$ .

# Proportions

- Pearson's chi-squared test ( $df = \text{number of outputs} - 1$ ).
- $z$ -test for proportions.
- <http://budling.nytud.hu/~birot/prop.php>  
<http://www.quantitativeskills.com/sisa/statistics/t-test.htm>.

# Association of variables

## Association of variables

Knowing the value of the explanatory variable(s), we can guess the value of the dependent variable:

Scale of the explanatory variable(s) is	categorical (nominal, ordinal)	quantitative (interval, ratio, logarithmic)
Dependent variable with categorical scale	<i>crosstabs</i>	<i>logistic regression</i>
Dependent variable with quantitative scale	<i>t-test, ANOVA</i>	<i>correlation, regression</i>

## Association of variables

Knowing the value of the explanatory variable(s), we can guess the value of the dependent variable:

When categorical explanatory var and scale dependent var:

$$\text{Dependent var} = \text{mean ( explanatory var )} + \text{noise}$$

$H_0$ : no association:

the mean is the same for all levels of the explanatory variable, that is,  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots$

(and noise is also the same for all levels of the explanatory variable, that is,  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots$ )

# Contingency table = cross tabulation

$X$ : categorical explanatory variable, with  $n$  levels.

$Y$ : categorical dependent variable, with  $m$  levels.

$H_0$ : variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent:

$$P(X = x \ \& \ Y = y) = P(X = x) \cdot P(Y = y)$$

- Pearson's chi-squared test.

Calculate  $X^2$ -statistic:  $X^2 := \sum_{i=1}^{n \times m} \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$

- The sampling distribution of the  $X^2$ -statistic asymptotically approaches a  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $df = (n - 1) \times (m - 1)$ .

[http://bcs.whfreeman.com/ips6e/content/cat\\_050/ips6e\\_table-f.pdf](http://bcs.whfreeman.com/ips6e/content/cat_050/ips6e_table-f.pdf)

- If small sample sizes: Fisher's exact test, etc.

## Next week

1. Article presentations?
2. Student project presentations?

See you next week!